## **Novice Reduction**for Gap Closure



## **Analyze Data Diagnostic**

This diagnostic addresses the analyze component of reviewing, analyzing and applying data to school processes to bolster core instruction leading to novice reduction. With your leadership team discuss each component and use evidence to determine within which performance level your school operates. If you discover that your school review, analyze and apply data processes fall below the exemplary performance level, there are resources for you to use toward improvement on our webpage.

	Component	Exemplary (4 pts)	Accomplished (3 pts)	Developing (2 pts)	Ineffective (1)
ANALYZE	Schools/Districts use a systematic process to analyze data	A consistent process is always used for analyzing data     All staff are familiar with the process and could describe it, if asked     The process is used in multiple settings. For example: analyzing state test scores or TELL Survey results in a faculty meeting, or teacher groups using the process to determine the effectiveness of instruction after a culminating project.     Schools/districts have a data team to facilitate work around data     Critical concepts are defined, such as data, progress, evidence     Ongoing data meetings occur to ensure the district/school is on track to improving student outcomes	A consistent process is always used for analyzing data     All staff are familiar with the process and could describe it, if asked.     The process is used in multiple settings. For example: analyzing state test scores or TELL Survey results in a faculty meeting, or teacher groups using the process to determine the effectiveness of instruction after a culminating project     Critical concepts are defined, such as data, progress, evidence     Ongoing data meetings occur to ensure the district/school is on track to improving student outcomes	A process is sporadically used for analyzing data.     Some staff are familiar with the process, while others are unaware of using a process     The process is used mostly for one type of data, usually student learning data, such as state test results	There is no process in place for analyzing data
	Component  District/School stakeholders analyze the "why" (root cause) behind possible gaps exposed in data analysis	Districts/Schools use Continuous     Improvement strategies by using quality tools and processes that hypothesize possible problems in practice (Fish bone diagram, 5 Whys)     Root Cause analysis is based on appropriate level data     Five data questions are documented     Root cause analysis is performed for areas of concern exposed by the data     School administrators and all staff form a team to implement this practice	Districts/Schools use Continuous Improvement strategies by using quality tools and processes that hypothesize possible problems in practice (Fish bone diagram, 5 Whys)     Root Cause analysis is based on appropriate level data     Root cause analysis is performed for areas of concern exposed by the data     School administrators and all staff form a team to implement this practice	School administrators and staff form a team to hypothesize and implement the practice of analyzing the gaps in data     An analysis is conducted on appropriate level data but lacks connection to the Root Cause analysis method of analysis with little documentation of the "why" behind the gaps	A District/School designee individually reviews the appropriate level data and shares the hypothesis of outcomes of data with additional District/School leadership
	RESOURCES	<ul> <li>Using Student Achievement Data to Support</li> <li>Data Analysis 5 Step Process</li> <li>Guide to Using Data in School Improvement</li> <li>Fish Bone Diagram, 5 Whys</li> <li>Five Data Questions</li> <li>Unbridled Learning Assessment and Account</li> </ul>	rt Instructional Decision Making at Efforts, Learning Points Associates	gr-v	